UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,966	06/20/2001	Koichi Numata	109237	4446
25944 7590 07/28/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			HANDAL, KAITY V	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4830		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/883,966	NUMATA ET AL				
interview Guinnary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KAITY V. HANDAL	1795				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>KAITY V. HANDAL</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>MR. SCHOTT M. SCHULTE</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 23 July 2008.						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3,4,7,10,13,19-22,25,26 and 31</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>Noguchi et al. (US 4,036,180), Rao et al (US 5,758,496), Yorita et al (US 5,855,781) and Gadkaree et al. (US 5,750,026)</i> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. ♀	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant presented argureferences in order to arrive at the instant invention as claim prior art as presented in the non-final Office Action dated (Action dated</u>	iments as to the obviousness on The das a whole. Examiner an	of choosing the o	<u>combined</u>			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
	/Patrick Ryan/					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red	_			